



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,389	06/27/2001	Esa-Sakari Maatta	442-010338-US(PAR)	2173
7590 03/04/2004		EXAMINER		
Perman & Green			HARTMANN, GARY S	
425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06430-6232			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	-
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisom, Astism	09/892,389	MAATTA, ESA-SAKARI
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Hartmann	3671
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2004 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is refinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF	equired to avoid abandonment of this be either: (1) a timely filed amendme ce of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in
PERI	OD FOR REPLY [check either a) or t	o)]
no event, however, will the statutory period for	ng date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date or reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the TREPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH 1.136(a). The date on which the petition unding the period of extension and the corresponding to the period of the shortened statutory period wed by the Office later than three months afte	HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension the	* *	•
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be	e entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would re	equire further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter	(see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the a issues for appeal; and/or	application in better form for appeal b	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims with NOTE:	hout canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	owing rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _ canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		d in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ application in condition for allowance b		n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be con raised by the Examiner in the final reje		DLELY to issues which were newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed explanation of how the new or amended 		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be)	as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	1:	
8. The drawing correction filed on i	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapprov	red by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosu	re Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)
0. Other:		
		Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Spring (9) is considered to be part of the second portion, and it does store energy. Additionally, housing part (6) is relatively movable and, as noted in the rejection, is considered to be par of the housing.

While there are differences between the present application and the art cited, clearly recited structure is necessary in order to overcome the rejection.